

LAW OFFICES

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON

FAX (816) 421-5547
W.U.T. TELEX 426291 SHB KSO
A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATIONS

ONE KANSAS CITY PLACE
1200 MAIN STREET
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64105
(816) 474-6550

OTHER OFFICES:
40 CORPORATE WOODS
OVERLAND PARK, KANSAS
18 BUCKINGHAM GATE
LONDON, ENGLAND

April 8, 1992

VIA FAX

Denise F. Keane, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Philip Morris U.S.A.
120 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10017

Re: Indoor Air Quality: New Jersey

Dear Denise:

As we have mutually noted, there will be a hearing on Wednesday, April 22, 1992 at the Human Resources Development Institute in Princeton, New Jersey (see attached).

Informal, and as yet unconfirmed, reports that we have been receiving indicate that there may be a strong undercurrent in New Jersey to promote state-sponsored educational advertisements and programs in the television, radio and print media concerning issues involving tobacco use, ETS exposure, and "children's issues" associated with tobacco. Although there are a number of other topics noted as a part of the scheduled hearing, the input we have received suggests that educational programs could be a course of action "within the state budget," within the political realm of feasibility in the legislature, and within the scope of measures that the governor might sign into law.

Input that we have received, which we are in the process of checking, indicates that restaurants currently must post a sign designating a separate smoking section. In offices and other business workplaces which employ more than 50 persons, we are advised that the workplace must designate a separately ventilated smoking area. There may already be legislation "on the books" banning smoking in public places. The hearing on April 22, 1992 may focus upon a proposal for separate smoking areas in restaurants that would be physically separated from the rest of the restaurant and would also have a separate HVAC system for the smoking area.

Preliminary input we are receiving suggests that a person interested in testifying at the hearing should submit a written request to testify. If accepted (and we have no reason to suspect that persons interested in testifying would not be allowed to

Copy

S. Parnish

- FYI

CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT
COMMUNICATION

Lance told me

Someone from T.I. was

scheduled to attend

2024722596

Denise F. Keane, Esq.
April 8, 1992
Page 2


SHOOK, HARDY & BACON

testify), the next step would be the actual testimony. We are advised on a preliminary basis that testimony may involve a period of questioning by the state officials present at the hearing. After the hearings are completed a summary of the hearings would go to the state legislature, and possibly to the governor. Any recommendations arising out of the hearing would then be put in a legislative package that would go through the legislature in the same manner as other legislation.

We are advised that if the agencies in the state of New Jersey receive a significant response in terms of requests to testify, they might consider holding multiple hearings on different dates at perhaps different sites in the state. We have no confirmation of this point.

As more information becomes available, there may be more issues and areas to discuss concerning this scheduled hearing.

Sincerely,


Leo P. Dreyer

LPD:jpm
Enclosure